

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,899	07/21/2006	Mads Eskelund Bjornvad	10534.204-US	5370
	7590 09/18/200 S NORTH AMERICA.	EXAM	EXAMINER	
500 FIFTH AV		SINGH, SAT	SINGH, SATYENDRA K	
SUITE 1600 NEW YORK, NY 10110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2009	EI ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patents-US-NY@novozymes.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	10/586,899	BJORNVAD, MADS ESKELUND			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					

	SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
	ailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	nendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) No reply has been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-89) 	5).				
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was, which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T		CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month բ	period set in, the Notice of			
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is			
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	attorney or agent of record, the ass	gnee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 		e the period for seeking court review			
7. 🖾 The reason(s) below:					
See Continuation Sheet					
/JON P WEBER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner. Art Unit 1657					

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Petert and "Edenati

Application No. 10/586,899

PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20090914

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: applicant's attorney of record Miss Kristin McNamara (phone 212-840-0097) was called on September 14, 2009 (at 31-44 M) to inquire about the status of this application, and left a voicemail to the effect that office has not yet received any response from applicant, and accordingly, this application will be considered abandoned by the applicant. Applicant's attorney of record called back (at 10:25 M on 91/4/09) and confirmed the fact that no response was submitted by the applicant.

/Satyendra K. Singh/ Examiner, AU 1657